



OFFICIAL NOTICE AND AGENDA

of a meeting of a City Board, Commission, Department, Committee, Agency, Corporation, Quasi-Municipal Corporation, or sub-unit thereof.

Meeting of the: **FINANCE COMMITTEE - BUDGET SESSION #5**
Date/Time: **Tuesday, October 25, 2022 at 5:15 PM**
Location: **City Hall (407 Grant Street) - Council Chambers**
FIN Members: Lisa Rasmussen, Doug Diny, Carol Lukens, Michael Martens, Sarah Watson

AGENDA ITEMS

- 1 Minutes of the previous meeting(s): (10/11/22)
- 2 Discussion and possible action regarding ARPA funding requests and related budget modification
- 3 Budget Discussions and Actions regarding Recommending a 2023 Budget including setting the 2023 Fee Schedule

Adjourn

Lisa Rasmussen, Chair

NOTICE: It is possible and likely that members of, and possibly a quorum of members of the Committee of the Whole or other committees of the Common Council of the City of Wausau may be in attendance at the above-mentioned meeting. No action will be taken by any such groups.

Members of the public who do not wish to appear in person may view the meeting live over the internet, live by cable TV, Channel 981, and a video is available in its entirety and can be accessed at <https://tinyurl.com/WausauCityCouncil>. Any person wishing to offer public comment who does not appear in person to do so, may e-mail mary.goede@ci.wausau.wi.us with "Finance Committee public comment" in the subject line prior to the meeting start. All public comment, either by email or in person, will be limited to items on the agenda at this time. The messages related to agenda items received prior to the start of the meeting will be provided to the Chair.

This Notice was posted at City Hall and faxed to the Daily Herald newsroom 10/21/22 at 3:30 pm

In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA), the City of Wausau will not discriminate against qualified individuals with disabilities on the basis of disability in its services, programs or activities. If you need assistance or reasonable accommodations in participating in this meeting or event due to a disability as defined under the ADA, please call the ADA Coordinator at (715) 261-6590 or ADAServices@ci.wausau.wi.us to discuss your accessibility needs. We ask your request be provided a minimum of 72 hours before the scheduled event or meeting. If a request is made less than 72 hours before the event the City of Wausau will make a good faith effort to accommodate your request.

Other Distribution: Media, (Alderspersons: Kilian, Gisselmani, McElhaney, Herbst, Larson, Henke), *Rosenberg, *Jacobson, *Groat, Department Heads

FINANCE COMMITTEE – BUDGET SESSION #1

Date and Time: Tuesday, October 11, 2022 @ 5:30 pm., Council Chambers

Members Present: Lisa Rasmussen, Sarah Watson, Michael Martens, Carol Lukens, Doug Diny

Others Present: Maryanne Groat, Ben Bliven, Emily Ley, Eric Lindman, Anne Jacobson, Anne Keenan, Mary Goede, Solomon King, Dustin Kraege, Gary Gisselman, Chad Henke

In accordance with Chapter 19, Wisc. Statutes, notice of this meeting was posted and sent to the Daily Herald in the proper manner. The Finance Committee was called to order by Chairperson Lisa Rasmussen.

Minutes of the previous meeting (9/27/22)

Motion by Diny, second by Watson to approve the minutes of the previous meeting. Motion carried 5-0.

Discussions and Actions regarding 2021 Single Audit

Full meeting discussion: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6pCquuKOxR8>

Maryanne Groat stated as a government entity that receives federal and state dollars, we must have a single audit each year. That single audit is not only a financial audit but also a compliance audit. The auditor's report says that it is the city management's responsibility to set up a good accounting system and an internal control framework that can be relied on to manage our grants properly. That internal control system will ensure things are properly reviewed, approved, reported, and done timely. She indicated the city also has the responsibility to inventory all the grants we receive each year and include it in the single audit report. Groat stated with the amount of money that has been flowing the last two years, scrutiny over those dollars has become more and more strict. She noted there have been some regular issues coming up with the grants. We were supposed to submit all the contracts we had with subrecipients to HUD each year which we were not accomplishing.

Groat stated there were two findings, that there were not proper reviews in Community Development as well as in Transit. The auditors did not consider this a material weakness, but we do have to have a strategy to improve our internal controls to do better in the future. She noted her strategy for this is the next item on the agenda.

Discussions and Actions regarding Recommending a Grant Policy

Maryanne Groat reviewed a draft policy in the packet and noted she had not yet had a chance to share it with the departments. She indicated she shared it with the auditors and her goal is not to make it something difficult to manage, but still get improvements to our processes and system. She stated after she got committee feedback, she would send it out to all the departments and give them a couple weeks to review and respond and bring it back to Finance for final approval. Rasmussen suggested it be something to use starting with the new year.

Groat stated one of the goals of the policy is to be transparent when we get our grants. Currently there is no policy that says grants must come before the Finance Committee or the Council for approval. One of the things the policy contains is that if the grant award exceeds \$10,000 it must come before Finance Committee for approval before award. She clarified this means departments can apply for grants, but they cannot accept the grants until the committee approves. If there is a local share, this will make sure that share is discussed and there is a funding source for it. It would also require the departments to do a better job of saying what the ongoing implications of the grant are. Another proposal of the policy is that if they are applying for a grant that creates new positions or programs, it needs to come to Finance Committee first. *Discussion followed.*

Groat stated the other thing she wanted to accomplish is having this information at Finance's fingertips, so we have the information to prepare our inventory schedule at the end of the year and to try to respond to some of the review comments received in the single audit. We would then create a central repository which would help us meet the records retention and help with audit questions. She believed having a policy would help us to have the proper financial oversight and meet our requirements for reporting with a system that is effective and efficient.

Discussion and possible action approving FLSA Exempt Employees in the Finance and Human Resources Departments the ability to earn additional compensation during the Workday Implementation

Maryanne Groat stated the team has been working overtime most every week and the pressures are growing as implementation gets closer. Lisa Rasmussen was concerned that employees were going to have to work on the holidays rather than be with their families. Groat stated everyone on the team is working hard on this, and hourly

employees receive overtime pay at time and a half, but the salaried employees are not getting any extra compensation. There are already salaried employees that are over their vacation accrual.

Doug Diny questioned how they would keep track of the additional hours. Groat stated currently salaried employees do not punch in, but starting November 24, 2022 through January 31, 2023 they would punch in and anything over 40 hours would be paid at straight time.

Motion by Diny, second from Martens so approve the additional compensation. Motion carried 5-0.

Discussion and possible action approving budget modification for hot mix purchase

Dustin Kriege explained they were taking some leftover funds to roll into the hot mix budget to get a few more streets done before the end of the year.

Motion by Martens, second by Lukens to approve the budget modification. Motion carried 5-0.

Budget Discussions and Actions regarding Recommending a 2023 Budget including setting the 2023 Fee Schedule

Lisa Rasmussen stated this was the kickoff of the budget planning and this be a standing item on the next four agendas.

Maryanne Groat stated in 2020 a study was done on the Motor Pool due to a financial decline in the fund, increasing financial pressures, increase in vacancies, customer dissatisfaction, internal griping on the age of the vehicles, and proper maintenance. She noted there was a link in the memo in the committee packet to hear the summary of the findings of that study. *Full meeting discussion on the Motor Pool Budget*
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6pCguuKOxR8>

Adjourn

Motion by Lukens, second by Diny to adjourn the meeting. Motion carried unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 6:30 pm.

ARPA 2022 REQUESTS 10/25/2022

	Priorities	Funding Options	Timeline	Cost	Resident Impact	Future Budget Impact	Readiness	Census Tract	Deferral Impact	Transformational	Total
Corrosion Control \$261,740											
Diny	10	6	8	8	9	9	8	8	9	9	84
Rasmussen	10	6	8	8	9	9	8	8	9	9	84
Watson	7	6	7	6	8	7	7	6	9	8	71
Martens	9	7	9	9	9	8	8	9	9	8	85
Lukens	10	6	9	8	9	8	10	9	10	9	88
	46	31	41	39	44	41	41	40	46	43	412
Average Ranking											81
Lead Line Study \$89,680											
Diny	9	6	8	10	9	5	7	8	8	7	77
Rasmussen	10	8	5	9	5	6	8	8	9	5	73
Watson	7	6	7	6	6	7	7	8	9	9	72
Martens	9	7	9	9	9	8	8	9	9	8	85
Lukens	10	6	9	8	8	8	9	9	10	9	86
	45	33	38	42	37	34	39	42	45	38	393
Average Ranking											76.75
PFAS Treatment Engineering Design \$658,695											
Diny	6	5	7	6	10	5	6	8	7	7	67
Rasmussen	10	8	8	9	7	8	9	8	9	8	84
Watson	7	7	7	6	8	8	7	6	9	9	74
Martens	9	7	9	7	9	8	8	9	8	8	82
Lukens	8	6	9	7	10	8	10	7	7	7	79
	40	33	40	35	44	37	40	38	40	39	386
Average Ranking											76.75
Sewer Mains Henreitta St \$200,000											
Diny	7	5	9	6	5	10	8	9	6	5	70
Rasmussen	8	9	8	8	3	7	6	7	7	5	68
Watson	7	5	7	5	5	6	6	8	8	7	64
Martens	9	8	9	5	7	7	9	5	8	8	75
Lukens	8	6	10	6	3	9	8	8	7	6	71
	39	33	43	30	23	39	37	37	36	31	348
Average Ranking											69.25
Sewer Mains Grant \$85,000											
Diny	7	5	9	6	5	10	8	9	6	5	70
Rasmussen	8	9	8	7	3	7	6	7	7	5	67
Watson	7	5	7	5	5	6	6	8	8	7	64
Martens	9	8	9	5	7	7	9	5	8	8	75
Lukens	8	6	10	6	3	9	8	8	7	6	71
	39	33	43	29	23	39	37	37	36	31	347
Average Ranking											69
Sewer Mains 10th Ave \$320,000											
Diny	7	5	9	6	5	10	8	9	6	5	70
Rasmussen	8	9	8	7	3	7	6	7	7	5	67
Watson	7	5	7	5	5	6	6	7	8	7	63
Martens	9	8	9	5	7	7	9	5	8	8	75
Lukens	8	6	10	6	3	9	8	8	7	6	71
	39	33	43	29	23	39	37	36	36	31	346
Average Ranking											68.75
Water Mains Henreitta St											
Diny	7	5	9	6	5	10	8	9	6	5	70
Rasmussen	10	8	7	8	2	9	5	7	8	2	66
Watson	7	5	7	5	5	6	6	8	8	7	64
Martens	9	8	9	5	7	7	9	5	8	8	75
Lukens	8	6	10	6	3	9	8	8	7	6	71
	41	32	42	30	22	41	36	37	37	28	346
Average Ranking											68.75
Water Mains 10th Ave \$420,000											
Diny	7	5	9	6	5	10	8	9	6	5	70
Rasmussen	10	8	7	8	2	9	5	8	8	2	67
Watson	7	5	7	5	5	6	6	7	8	7	63
Martens	9	8	9	5	7	7	9	5	8	8	75
Lukens	8	6	10	6	3	9	8	8	7	6	71
	41	32	42	30	22	41	36	37	37	28	346
Average Ranking											68.75
Water Mains Grant \$100,000											
Diny	7	5	9	6	5	10	9	7	6	5	69
Rasmussen	10	8	7	8	2	9	5	7	8	2	66
Watson	7	5	7	5	5	6	6	8	8	7	64
Martens	9	8	9	5	7	7	9	5	8	8	75
Lukens	8	6	10	7	2	9	8	8	7	6	71

	41	32	42	31	21	41	37	35	37	28	345
									Average Ranking		68.5
Sewer Lift Station Upgrade Design \$239,000											
Diny	7	5	9	6	5	10	8	9	6	5	70
Rasmussen	7	8	8	7	3	7	6	7	7	5	65
Watson	7	5	7	5	5	6	6	7	8	7	63
Martens	9	8	9	5	7	7	9	5	8	8	75
Lukens	10	6	10	8	10	9	9	8	8	9	87
	40	32	43	31	30	39	38	36	37	34	360
									Average Ranking		68.25
Solar Array Study \$235,355											
Diny	4	5	5	5	4	8	7	5	2	4	49
Rasmussen	5	4	7	6	4	6	6	5	7	6	56
Watson	7	5	6	6	7	8	7	6	7	7	66
Martens	6	5	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	55
Lukens	8	6	9	7	8	8	10	8	7	8	79
	30	25	34	29	28	35	35	29	28	32	305
									Average Ranking		56.5



Department of Public Works

Eric Lindman, P.E.
Director of Public Works and Utilities

TO: Finance Committee

FROM: Eric Lindman, P.E.
Director of Public Works & Utilities

DATE: October 18, 2022

SUBJECT: DPWU - ARPA Project Request 03

The Department of Public Works & Utilities has prepared the below projects for your consideration and ranking. A summary of the projects is below for your reference and attached are the full narratives and application information for each project.

These projects are being brought forward for consideration primarily to alleviate expenditure strains on the utility until the water and sewer rates may be fully realized within the utility. The first group of projects are performed in conjunction with the annual road reconstruction projects, the road portions are funded from the capital plan with debt. The W&S are typically funded out of the utility through borrowing. The proposal for 2023 is to use ARPA funds for the W&S portion of the projects to reduce the need for borrowing within the utility.

The Water Utility in particular, will have a difficult time financing capital projects in 2023. The Water Utility was provided a 2-step rate increase at the onset of the new treatment facility construction project. No additional rate increases are allowed until the plant is complete. Based upon the extensive rate review process of the PSC we do not expect the rate increase to go into effect until May or June of 2023.

Street Project	Water Cost	Sewer Cost	Total
10 th Ave (Bridge to W. Wausau)	\$420,000	\$320,000	\$740,000
Grant St (6th St to 7th St)	\$100,000	\$85,000	\$185,000
Henrietta (Bellis St - 13th St)	\$320,000	\$200,000	\$520,000
	\$840,000	\$605,000	\$1,445,000

The projects listed below are utility professional service projects that are currently under contract. Projects highlighted are mandatory due to new regulations and code violations from the USEPA and WDNR.

Utility Project	Water Cost	Sewer Cost	Total
Lead Service Line Replacement Plan	\$89,680		\$89,680
Corrosion Control Optimization Study	\$261,740		\$261,740
PFAS Treatment Process Design	\$658,695		\$658,695
Sewer Lift Station Upgrade Design		\$239,000	\$239,000
Solar Array Study	\$235,355		\$235,355
	\$1,245,470	\$239,000	\$1,484,470

	Water Cost	Sewer Cost	Total
Total ARPA Request =	\$2,085,470	\$844,000	\$2,929,470

DPWU ARPA Requests and Approvals		
ARPA Projects Requested		
Description	ARPA Funding	Match Funding
LED Street Lighting Conversion	\$881,971.00	\$0.00
WWTF - Screening System Improvements	\$800,000.00	\$0.00
Abel Lift Station - Stormwater	\$800,000.00	\$0.00
17th Ave - Stewart Ave to Elm St	\$1,180,000.00	\$400,000.00
LSL Supplemental 2022	\$200,000.00	\$577,000.00
10 th Ave (Bridge to W. Wausau)	\$740,000.00	\$0.00
Grant St (6th St to 7th St)	\$185,000.00	\$0.00
Henrietta (Bellis St - 13th St)	\$520,000.00	\$0.00
17 th Ave (Stewart to Elm)	\$420,000.00	\$0.00
Lead Service Line Replacement Plan	\$89,680.00	\$0.00
Corrosion Control optimization Study	\$261,740.00	\$0.00
PFAS Treatment Process Design	\$658,695.00	\$0.00
Sewer Lift Station Upgrade Design	\$239,000.00	\$0.00
Solar Array Study	\$235,355.00	\$0.00
Total Request	\$7,211,441.00	\$977,000.00
COUNCIL ARPA Projects Approved		
Description	ARPA Funding	Match Funding
LED Street Lighting Conversion	\$881,971.00	\$0.00
WWTF - Screening System Improvements	\$800,000.00	\$0.00
Abel Lift Station - Stormwater	\$800,000.00	\$0.00
Total Council Approved	\$2,481,971.00	\$0.00
FINANCE APRA Projects Approved		
LSL Supplemental 2022	\$200,000.00	\$577,000.00

Funded by other sources

CITY OF WAUSAU

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN - SLFRF APPLICATION

Water Sewer and Broad Band Infrastructure

Water, Sewer and Broadband infrastructure this category is available to address the consequences of deferred maintenance in drinking water systems, treatment of sewage and stormwater along with resiliency measures to adapt to climate change. In addition the funds may be used for broad band investment and cybersecurity investments. Common examples would include:

- * Sewage and Stormwater projects must be eligible under the EPA's Clean Water State Revolving Fund
- *Water projects must be eligible under the EPA's Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
- *Broad band infrastructure must respond to lack of reliable service or affordable service
- *Cybersecurity investment and modernization is eligible to new or existing infrastructure.



Project Title	10th Ave Reconstruction (Bridge to W. Wausau)		
Department	DPWU	Contact Name:	Eric Lindman
Priority 1-6 (low-high)	5		

6=Emergency, 5=Urgent, 4=High Priority, 3 Medium Priority, 2 Low Priority, 1 No Priority

Project Type (Check all that apply)

☒	Sewage Infrastructure	☐	Broadband Infrastructure
	Stormwater Infrastructure		Cybersecurity Investment
☒	Water Infrastructure		

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a description of the project, purchase or service attach additional information if needed

2023 road reconstruction project. This is a complete road reconstruction project to include street, curb/gutter, sidewalk, storm sewer, water and sanitary sewer. The roadway and stormwater portions of the project are being funded through the CIP for 2023. Due to expenditure strains within the utility it is recommended the W&S portions of this project be funded with ARPA funds rather than through utility borrowing. This would help alleviate expenditure strains on the utility while the new rates are allowed to be put in place.

WATER, SEWAGE AND STORMWATER PROJECT- CHECK THOSE THAT APPLY

☒	Addresses critical health or safety hazard.	☐	This project was identified and deferred on prior years capital/operating budget
☒	Required to achieve or maintain an adequate level of service		Expands existing service into an undeveloped area.
	Provides new service, facility, system or equipment.	☒	Repairs, replaces or prevents a breakdown of an existing infrastructure

PROJECT OR PURCHASE JUSTIFICATION

Describe physical condition, demand/capacity, functionality and/or safety concerns or revenue generating potential that justifies the project, purchase or acquisition

The water and sanitary sewer are beyond their design life and need to be replaced to mitigate the high risk of failure. Failure of water and sanitary sewer infrastructure is expensive and creates public safety issues to residents due to lack of potable water or it may create sanitary sewer overflows.

IMPACT ON DEFERRED IMPLEMENTATION/PURCHASE

Describe how project deferral will impact future asset maintenance, economic growth, quality of service, efficiency or effectiveness, quality of life, safety, financing or other issues.

Failure of water and sanitary sewer infrastructure is expensive and creates public safety issues to residents due to loss of potable water and/or sanitary sewer overflows. Deferral of the replacement of water and sanitary sewer lines will cost more and would need to be done as an emergency versus a planned project.

RETURN ON INVESTMENT

Describe the financial benefits, cost savings or payback of the capital project such as grant funding, cost avoidance, future debt avoidance or operational cost or income benefits

Replacement of the water and sewer mains will mitigate the risks of broken water mains and sanitary sewer line failures. These repairs/replacement will also include, at a minimum, the replacement of the public side lead service line. Full replacement of these lines will also prevent the need for cutting into a newly constructed street to repair old water and sanitary sewer mains.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - FINANCIAL DETAIL

	DPWU
--	------

ONE TIME EXPENSE	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Planning /Design						-
LandAcquisition						-
Construction/Maintenance		740,000				740,000
Equipment/Vehicle/Furnishings Purchase						-
Other(Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ 740,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 740,000

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Debt Issuance	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	740,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	740,000
-----------	----	---	----	---------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---------

ONGOING NEW OPERATIONAL EXP	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Staff Costs						-
Contractual Services						-
Supplies/Materials						-
Maintenance						-
Other (Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Funding Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
-----------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---

IDENTIFY ONGOING FUNDING SOURCE FOR NEW OPERATIONAL EXPENSES AFTER THE ARPA GRANT PERIOD

DESCRIBE EVENTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD PREVENT COSTS FROM BEING OBLIGATED BY DECEMBER 31, 2024 AND EXPENDED BY DECEMBER 31, 2026 attach additional information if needed	

These funds would be expended in 2023.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - CALENDAR
DPWU

	TIME FRAME (Start/End by Month)											
YEAR 2023	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task: Planning/ Design/Recruiting												
Task: RFP/ RFQ/ Bid/ Award												
Task: Contract Execution												
Task: Construction												
Task:												
Task:												
Task:												
Task:												
YEAR 2024 funds must be obligated by 12/31/2024	TIME FRAME (Start/End Dates by Month)											
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task: Planning/ Design/Recruiting												
Task: RFP/ RFQ/ Bid/ Award												
Task: Contract Execution												
Task: Construction												
Task:												
Task:												
Task:												
Task:												
YEAR 2025 <i>funds must be obligated by 12/31/2024</i>	TIME FRAME (Start/End Dates by Month)											
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task: Planning/ Design/Recruiting												
Task: RFP/ RFQ/ Bid/ Award												
Task: Contract Execution												
Task: Construction												
Task:												
Task:												
Task:												
Task:												
YEAR 2026	TIME FRAME (Start/End Dates by Month)											
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task: Planning/ Design/Recruiting												
Task: RFP/ RFQ/ Bid/ Award												
Task: Contract Execution												
Task: Construction												
Task:												
Task:												
Task:												
Task:												
YEAR 2026	TIME FRAME (Start/End Dates by Month)											
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task: Planning/ Design/Recruiting												
Task: RFP/ RFQ/ Bid/ Award												
Task: Contract Execution												
Task: Construction												
Task:												
Task:												
Task:												
Task:												

CITY OF WAUSAU

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN - SLFRF APPLICATION

Water Sewer and Broad Band Infrastructure

Water, Sewer and Broadband infrastructure this category is available to address the consequences of deferred maintenance in drinking water systems, treatment of sewage and stormwater along with resiliency measures to adapt to climate change. In addition the funds may be used for broad band investment and cybersecurity investments. Common examples would include:

- * Sewage and Stormwater projects must be eligible under the EPA's Clean Water State Revolving Fund
- *Water projects must be eligible under the EPA's Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
- *Broad band infrastructure must respond to lack of reliable service or affordable service
- *Cybersecurity investment and modernization is eligible to new or existing infrastructure.



Project Title	Grant St Reconstruction (6th St - 7th St)		
Department	DPWU	Contact Name:	Eric Lindman
Priority 1-6 (low-high)	5		

6=Emergency, 5=Urgent, 4=High Priority, 3 Medium Priority, 2 Low Priority, 1 No Priority

Project Type (Check all that apply)

☒	Sewage Infrastructure	☐	Broadband Infrastructure
	Stormwater Infrastructure		Cybersecurity Investment
☒	Water Infrastructure		

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a description of the project, purchase or service attach additional information if needed

2023 road reconstruction project. This is a complete road reconstruction project to include street, curb/gutter, sidewalk, storm sewer, water and sanitary sewer. The roadway and stormwater portions of the project are being funded through the CIP for 2023. Due to expenditure strains within the utility it is recommended the W&S portions of this project be funded with ARPA funds rather than through utility borrowing. This would help alleviate expenditure strains on the utility while the new rates are allowed to be put in place.

WATER, SEWAGE AND STORMWATER PROJECT- CHECK THOSE THAT APPLY

☒	Addresses critical health or safety hazard.	☐	This project was identified and deferred on prior years capital/operating budget
☒	Required to achieve or maintain an adequate level of service		Expands existing service into an undeveloped area.
	Provides new service, facility, system or equipment.	☒	Repairs, replaces or prevents a breakdown of an existing infrastructure

PROJECT OR PURCHASE JUSTIFICATION

Describe physical condition, demand/capacity, functionality and/or safety concerns or revenue generating potential that justifies the project, purchase or acquisition

The water and sanitary sewer are beyond their design life and need to be replaced to mitigate the high risk of failure. Failure of water and sanitary sewer infrastructure is expensive and creates public safety issues to residents due to lack of potable water or it may create sanitary sewer overflows.

IMPACT ON DEFERRED IMPLEMENTATION/PURCHASE

Describe how project deferral will impact future asset maintenance, economic growth, quality of service, efficiency or effectiveness, quality of life, safety, financing or other issues.

Failure of water and sanitary sewer infrastructure is expensive and creates public safety issues to residents due to loss of potable water and/or sanitary sewer overflows. Deferral of the replacement of water and sanitary sewer lines will cost more and would need to be done as an emergency versus a planned project.

RETURN ON INVESTMENT

Describe the financial benefits, cost savings or payback of the capital project such as grant funding, cost avoidance, future debt avoidance or operational cost or income benefits

Replacement of the water and sewer mains will mitigate the risks of broken water mains and sanitary sewer line failures. These repairs/replacement will also include, at a minimum, the replacement of the public side lead service line. Full replacement of these lines will also prevent the need for cutting into a newly constructed street to repair old water and sanitary sewer mains.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - FINANCIAL DETAIL

	DPWU
--	------

ONE TIME EXPENSE	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Planning /Design						-
LandAcquisition						-
Construction/Maintenance		185,000				185,000
Equipment/Vehicle/Furnishings Purchase						-
Other(Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ 185,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 185,000

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Debt Issuance	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	185,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	185,000
-----------	----	---	----	---------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---------

ONGOING NEW OPERATIONAL EXP	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Staff Costs						-
Contractual Services						-
Supplies/Materials						-
Maintenance						-
Other (Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Funding Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
-----------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---

IDENTIFY ONGOING FUNDING SOURCE FOR NEW OPERATIONAL EXPENSES AFTER THE ARPA GRANT PERIOD

DESCRIBE EVENTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD PREVENT COSTS FROM BEING OBLIGATED BY DECEMBER 31, 2024 AND EXPENDED BY DECEMBER 31, 2026 attach additional information if needed	

These funds would be expended in 2023.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - CALENDAR
DPWU

[illegible]

CITY OF WAUSAU

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN - SLFRF APPLICATION

Water Sewer and Broad Band Infrastructure

Water, Sewer and Broadband infrastructure this category is available to address the consequences of deferred maintenance in drinking water systems, treatment of sewage and stormwater along with resiliency measures to adapt to climate change. In addition the funds may be used for broad band investment and cybersecurity investments. Common examples would include:

- * Sewage and Stormwater projects must be eligible under the EPA's Clean Water State Revolving Fund
- *Water projects must be eligible under the EPA's Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
- *Broad band infrastructure must respond to lack of reliable service or affordable service
- *Cybersecurity investment and modernization is eligible to new or existing infrastructure.



Project Title	Henrietta St Reconstruction (Bellis St - 13th St)		
Department	DPWU	Contact Name:	Eric Lindman
Priority 1-6 (low-high)	5		

6=Emergency, 5=Urgent, 4=High Priority, 3 Medium Priority, 2 Low Priority, 1 No Priority

Project Type (Check all that apply)

☒	Sewage Infrastructure	☐	Broadband Infrastructure
	Stormwater Infrastructure		Cybersecurity Investment
☒	Water Infrastructure		

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a description of the project, purchase or service attach additional information if needed

2023 road reconstruction project. This is a complete road reconstruction project to include street, curb/gutter, sidewalk, storm sewer, water and sanitary sewer. The roadway and stormwater portions of the project are being funded through the CIP for 2023. Due to expenditure strains within the utility it is recommended the W&S portions of this project be funded with ARPA funds rather than through utility borrowing. This would help alleviate expenditure strains on the utility while the new rates are allowed to be put in place.

WATER, SEWAGE AND STORMWATER PROJECT- CHECK THOSE THAT APPLY

☒	Addresses critical health or safety hazard.	☐	This project was identified and deferred on prior years capital/operating budget
☒	Required to achieve or maintain an adequate level of service		Expands existing service into an undeveloped area.
	Provides new service, facility, system or equipment.	☒	Repairs, replaces or prevents a breakdown of an existing infrastructure

PROJECT OR PURCHASE JUSTIFICATION

Describe physical condition, demand/capacity, functionality and/or safety concerns or revenue generating potential that justifies the project, purchase or acquisition

The water and sanitary sewer are beyond their design life and need to be replaced to mitigate the high risk of failure. Failure of water and sanitary sewer infrastructure is expensive and creates public safety issues to residents due to lack of potable water or it may create sanitary sewer overflows.

IMPACT ON DEFERRED IMPLEMENTATION/PURCHASE

Describe how project deferral will impact future asset maintenance, economic growth, quality of service, efficiency or effectiveness, quality of life, safety, financing or other issues.

Failure of water and sanitary sewer infrastructure is expensive and creates public safety issues to residents due to loss of potable water and/or sanitary sewer overflows. Deferral of the replacement of water and sanitary sewer lines will cost more and would need to be done as an emergency versus a planned project.

RETURN ON INVESTMENT

Describe the financial benefits, cost savings or payback of the capital project such as grant funding, cost avoidance, future debt avoidance or operational cost or income benefits

Replacement of the water and sewer mains will mitigate the risks of broken water mains and sanitary sewer line failures. These repairs/replacement will also include, at a minimum, the replacement of the public side lead service line. Full replacement of these lines will also prevent the need for cutting into a newly constructed street to repair old water and sanitary sewer mains.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - FINANCIAL DETAIL

	DPWU
--	------

ONE TIME EXPENSE	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Planning /Design						-
LandAcquisition						-
Construction/Maintenance		520,000				520,000
Equipment/Vehicle/Furnishings Purchase						-
Other(Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ 520,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 520,000

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Debt Issuance	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	520,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	520,000
-----------	----	---	----	---------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---------

ONGOING NEW OPERATIONAL EXP	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Staff Costs						-
Contractual Services						-
Supplies/Materials						-
Maintenance						-
Other (Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Funding Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
-----------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---

IDENTIFY ONGOING FUNDING SOURCE FOR NEW OPERATIONAL EXPENSES AFTER THE ARPA GRANT PERIOD

DESCRIBE EVENTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD PREVENT COSTS FROM BEING OBLIGATED BY DECEMBER 31, 2024 AND EXPENDED BY DECEMBER 31, 2026 attach additional information if needed	

These funds would be expended in 2023.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - CALENDAR
DPWU

[illegible]

CITY OF WAUSAU
AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN - SLFRF APPLICATION
Water Sewer and Broad Band Infrastructure

Water, Sewer and Broadband infrastructure this category is available to address the consequences of deferred maintenance in drinking water systems, treatment of sewage and stormwater along with resiliency measures to adapt to climate change. In addition the funds may be used for broad band investment and cybersecurity investments. Common examples would include:

- * Sewage and Stormwater projects must be eligible under the EPA's Clean Water State Revolving Fund
- * Water projects must be eligible under the EPA's Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
- * Broad band infrastructure must respond to lack of reliable service or affordable service
- * Cybersecurity investment and modernization is eligible to new or existing infrastructure.



Project Title	Lead Service Line Replacement Plan		
Department	DPWU	Contact Name:	Eric Lindman
Priority 1-6 (low-high)	5		

6=Emergency, 5=Urgent, 4=High Priority, 3 Medium Priority, 2 Low Priority, 1 No Priority

Project Type (Check all that apply)

☐	Sewage Infrastructure	☐	Broadband Infrastructure
☐	Stormwater Infrastructure	☐	Cybersecurity Investment
☒	Water Infrastructure		

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a description of the project, purchase or service attach additional information if needed

Wausau has been replacing private side lead service lines through forgivable loans/grants from the WDNR since 2016. The City currently does not have a full comprehensive replacement plan in place. This project will prepare a comprehensive 15-year LSL replacement plan which will meet both USEPA and WDNR requirements. This will be a plan that will establish a 15-year full LSL replacement for both the public and private side. The USEPA Lead and Copper Revisions will become enforceable in October 2024 and the new testing requirements as well as not allowing partial replacements or repairs will have a significant impact on the water utility, both time and financial. In order for the city to continue to be in compliance a comprehensive replacement plan will need to be in place. The WDNR and US EPA are changing the way funding will be distributed in 2023 and beyond. The WDNR will no longer be providing 100% forgivable loan, it will now be distributed as partial loan and partial forgivable loan, making more of a financial burden on the utility moving forward.

WATER, SEWAGE AND STORMWATER PROJECT- CHECK THOSE THAT APPLY

☒	Addresses critical health or safety hazard.	☐	This project was identified and deferred on prior years capital/operating budget
☒	Required to achieve or maintain an adequate level of service	☐	Expands existing service into an undeveloped area.
☐	Provides new service, facility, system or equipment.	☒	Repairs, replaces or prevents a breakdown of an existing infrastructure

PROJECT OR PURCHASE JUSTIFICATION

Describe physical condition, demand/capacity, functionality and/or safety concerns or revenue generating potential that justifies the project, purchase or acquisition

Lead service lines were installed in the city from the late 1940's through about 1970. It is estimated the city has about 8,000 private side LSL's. The city uses sodium silicate in the distribution system as a corrosion control method to prevent lead from leaching into the water. The longer the water rests in the lead pipes the more risk of lead leaching into the water. Health impacts of lead are well documented and it is a high priority of the utility to remove the lead from the distribution system responsibly and quickly as possible without creating more health risks.

IMPACT ON DEFERRED IMPLEMENTATION/PURCHASE

Describe how project deferral will impact future asset maintenance, economic growth, quality of service, efficiency or effectiveness, quality of life, safety, financing or other issues.

When these service lines leak it is no longer an option for repair of these service lines, they need to be replaced. It is also going to be mandatory that if one side of the line is replaced (private or public) the remaining side will also need to be replaced. Deferral of the replacement of lines will cost more and likely be done as an emergency versus a planned project. Without a comprehensive plan in place to mythoidically establish replacement of these lines then they will be replaced on an emergency basis and the utility will be at high risk of non-compliance.

RETURN ON INVESTMENT

Describe the financial benefits, cost savings or payback of the capital project such as grant funding, cost avoidance, future debt avoidance or operational cost or income benefits

Replacement of LSL's is a public health need. No level of lead is safe and this is the number one health risk within the water distribution system. A 15-year replacement plan is estimated to cost \$80 million and would require the replacement of 500-600 service lines each year. This level of work will require a comprehensive plan to be in place to follow.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - FINANCIAL DETAIL

	DPWU
--	------

ONE TIME EXPENSE	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Planning /Design						-
LandAcquisition						-
Construction/Maintenance		89,680				89,680
Equipment/Vehicle/Furnishings Purchase						-
Other(Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ 89,680	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 89,680

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations										-
User Fees										-
Debt Issuance										-
Other Grant Income										-
Other (Describe)										-
Total Sources	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Shortfall	\$	-	\$	89,680	\$	-	\$	-	\$	89,680

ONGOING NEW OPERATIONAL EXP	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Staff Costs						-
Contractual Services						-
Supplies/Materials						-
Maintenance						-
Other (Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations											-	
User Fees											-	
Other Grant Income											-	
Other (Describe)											-	
Total Funding Sources	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Shortfall	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

IDENTIFY ONGOING FUNDING SOURCE FOR NEW OPERATIONAL EXPENSES AFTER THE ARPA GRANT PERIOD

DESCRIBE EVENTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD PREVENT COSTS FROM BEING

OBLIGATED BY DECEMBER 31, 2024 AND EXPENDED BY DECEMBER 31, 2026 attach additional information if needed

These funds would be expended in 2022.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - CALENDAR
DPWU

[illegible]

CITY OF WAUSAU

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN - SLFRF APPLICATION

Water Sewer and Broad Band Infrastructure

Water, Sewer and Broadband infrastructure this category is available to address the consequences of deferred maintenance in drinking water systems, treatment of sewage and stormwater along with resiliency measures to adapt to climate change. In addition the funds may be used for broad band investment and cybersecurity investments. Common examples would include:

- * Sewage and Stormwater projects must be eligible under the EPA's Clean Water State Revolving Fund
- * Water projects must be eligible under the EPA's Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
- * Broad band infrastructure must respond to lack of reliable service or affordable service
- * Cybersecurity investment and modernization is eligible to new or existing infrastructure.



Project Title	Corrosion Control Optimization Study		
Department	DPWU	Contact Name:	Eric Lindman
Priority 1-6 (low-high)	5		

6=Emergency, 5=Urgent, 4=High Priority, 3 Medium Priority, 2 Low Priority, 1 No Priority

Project Type (Check all that apply)

☐	Sewage Infrastructure	☐	Broadband Infrastructure
☐	Stormwater Infrastructure	☐	Cybersecurity Investment
☒	Water Infrastructure	☐	

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a description of the project, purchase or service attach additional information if needed

As required by the WDNR, the utility is preparing a study to optimize the corrosion control to be used with the new water treatment facility. Currently the city uses Sodium Silicate within the water distribution system to mitigate the leaching of lead/copper into the water. Due to the change in source water with the new treatment facility it is necessary for us to look at our corrosion control method and determine if there are other options to better optimize the corrosion control method moving forward. Corrosion Control is critically important within the distribution system as there are an estimated 8,000 lead service lines within the distribuion system that need to be managed and a small percentage of them need to be tested annually.

WATER, SEWAGE AND STORMWATER PROJECT- CHECK THOSE THAT APPLY

☒	Addresses critical health or safety hazard.	☐	This project was identified and deferred on prior years capital/operating budget
☒	Required to achieve or miantain an adequate level of service	☐	Expands existing service into an undeveloped area.
☐	Provides new service, facility, system or equipment.	☒	Repairs, replaces or prevents a breakdown of an existing infrastructure

PROJECT OR PURCHASE JUSTIFICATION

Describe physical condition, demand/capacity, functionality and/or safety concerns or revenue generating potential that justifies the project, purchase or acquisition

Lead service lines were installed in the city from the late 1940's through about 1970. The city uses sodium silicate in the distribution system as a corrosion control method to prevent lead from leaching into the water. The longer the water rests in the lead pipes the more risk of lead leaching into the water. Health impacts of lead are well documented and it is a high priority of the utility to remove the lead from the distribution system responsibly and quickly as possible without creating more health risks.

IMPACT ON DEFERRED IMPLEMENTATION/PURCHASE

Describe how project deferral will impact future asset maintenance, economic growth, quality of service, efficiency or effectiveness, quality of life, safety, financing or other issues.

This is a required project by the USEPA and WDNR, deferral is not an option.

RETURN ON INVESTMENT

Describe the financial benefits, cost savings or payback of the capital project such as grant funding, cost avoidance, future debt avoidance or operational cost or income benefits

No level of lead is safe and this is the number one health risk within the water distribution system. Managing and optimizing corrosion control is important until the lead is removed from the system.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - FINANCIAL DETAIL

DPWU

ONE TIME EXPENSE	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Planning /Design						-
LandAcquisition						-
Construction/Maintenance		261,740				261,740
Equipment/Vehicle/Furnishings Purchase						-
Other(Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ 261,740	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 261,740

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Debt Issuance	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	261,740	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	261,740
-----------	----	---	----	---------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---------

ONGOING NEW OPERATIONAL EXP	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Staff Costs						-
Contractual Services						-
Supplies/Materials						-
Maintenance						-
Other (Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Funding Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
-----------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---

IDENTIFY ONGOING FUNDING SOURCE FOR NEW OPERATIONAL EXPENSES AFTER THE ARPA GRANT PERIOD

DESCRIBE EVENTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD PREVENT COSTS FROM BEING OBLIGATED BY DECEMBER 31, 2024 AND EXPENDED BY DECEMBER 31, 2026 attach additional information if needed	

These funds would be expended in 2022.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - CALENDAR
DPWU

[illegible]

CITY OF WAUSAU

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN - SLFRF APPLICATION

Water Sewer and Broad Band Infrastructure

Water, Sewer and Broadband infrastructure this category is available to address the consequences of deferred maintenance in drinking water systems, treatment of sewage and stormwater along with resiliency measures to adapt to climate change. In addition the funds may be used for broad band investment and cybersecurity investments. Common examples would include:

- * Sewage and Stormwater projects must be eligible under the EPA's Clean Water State Revolving Fund
- * Water projects must be eligible under the EPA's Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
- * Broad band infrastructure must respond to lack of reliable service or affordable service
- * Cybersecurity investment and modernization is eligible to new or existing infrastructure.



Project Title	PFAS Treatment Process Design		
Department	DPWU	Contact Name:	Eric Lindman
Priority 1-6 (low-high)	5		

6=Emergency, 5=Urgent, 4=High Priority, 3 Medium Priority, 2 Low Priority, 1 No Priority

Project Type (Check all that apply)

☐	Sewage Infrastructure	☐	Broadband Infrastructure
☐	Stormwater Infrastructure	☐	Cybersecurity Investment
☒	Water Infrastructure		

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a description of the project, purchase or service attach additional information if needed

This project is for the design of the treatment process to remove PFAS from the drinking water. This project will prepare the engineered plans, specifications and contract for bidding the project as well as manage the bidding and award of the project for construction. This project does not include construction oversight or inspection.

WATER, SEWAGE AND STORMWATER PROJECT- CHECK THOSE THAT APPLY

☐	Addresses critical health or safety hazard.	☐	This project was identified and deferred on prior years capital/operating budget
☐	Required to achieve or maintain an adequate level of service	☐	Expands existing service into an undeveloped area.
☒	Provides new service, facility, system or equipment.	☒	Repairs, replaces or prevents a breakdown of an existing infrastructure

PROJECT OR PURCHASE JUSTIFICATION

Describe physical condition, demand/capacity, functionality and/or safety concerns or revenue generating potential that justifies the project, purchase or acquisition

The design will be for a Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) treatment process. The city's drinking water meets all state and federal drinking water standards and there are no requirements for the city to pursue this treatment. The new process will be an additional treatment process added to the new treatment facility. The new process will be designed to remove PFAS to current non-detectable levels.

IMPACT ON DEFERRED IMPLEMENTATION/PURCHASE

Describe how project deferral will impact future asset maintenance, economic growth, quality of service, efficiency or effectiveness, quality of life, safety, financing or other issues.

There are currently no requirements by the WDNR or USEPA for PFAS removal.

RETURN ON INVESTMENT

Describe the financial benefits, cost savings or payback of the capital project such as grant funding, cost avoidance, future debt avoidance or operational cost or income benefits

The proposed treatment process design was based on a 6-month pilot study. No additional funding has been found to fund this project at this time. Moving forward with this project will allow the city to either move forward with construction immediately or wait for future regulations to catch up and bid the project at a later date.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - FINANCIAL DETAIL

DPWU

ONE TIME EXPENSE	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Planning /Design						-
LandAcquisition						-
Construction/Maintenance		658,695				658,695
Equipment/Vehicle/Furnishings Purchase						-
Other(Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ 658,695	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 658,695

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Debt Issuance	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	658,695	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	658,695
-----------	----	---	----	---------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---------

ONGOING NEW OPERATIONAL EXP	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Staff Costs						-
Contractual Services						-
Supplies/Materials						-
Maintenance						-
Other (Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Funding Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
-----------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---

IDENTIFY ONGOING FUNDING SOURCE FOR NEW OPERATIONAL EXPENSES AFTER THE ARPA GRANT PERIOD	
1	2
3	4
5	6
7	8
9	10
11	12
13	14
15	16
17	18
19	20
21	22
23	24
25	26
27	28
29	30
31	32
33	34
35	36
37	38
39	40
41	42
43	44
45	46
47	48
49	50
51	52
53	54
55	56
57	58
59	60
61	62
63	64
65	66
67	68
69	70
71	72
73	74
75	76
77	78
79	80
81	82
83	84
85	86
87	88
89	90
91	92
93	94
95	96
97	98
99	100

DESCRIBE EVENTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD PREVENT COSTS FROM BEING OBLIGATED BY DECEMBER 31, 2024 AND EXPENDED BY DECEMBER 31, 2026 attach additional information if needed	

These funds would be expended in 2022.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - CALENDAR
DPWU

[illegible]

CITY OF WAUSAU

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN - SLFRF APPLICATION

Water Sewer and Broad Band Infrastructure

Water, Sewer and Broadband infrastructure this category is available to address the consequences of deferred maintenance in drinking water systems, treatment of sewage and stormwater along with resiliency measures to adapt to climate change. In addition the funds may be used for broad band investment and cybersecurity investments. Common examples would include:

- * Sewage and Stormwater projects must be eligible under the EPA's Clean Water State Revolving Fund
- * Water projects must be eligible under the EPA's Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
- * Broad band infrastructure must respond to lack of reliable service or affordable service
- * Cybersecurity investment and modernization is eligible to new or existing infrastructure.



Project Title	Sewage Lift Station Upgrade Design		
Department	DPWU	Contact Name:	Eric Lindman
Priority 1-6 (low-high)	5		

6=Emergency, 5=Urgent, 4=High Priority, 3 Medium Priority, 2 Low Priority, 1 No Priority

Project Type (Check all that apply)

☒	Sewage Infrastructure	☐	Broadband Infrastructure
☐	Stormwater Infrastructure	☐	Cybersecurity Investment
☐	Water Infrastructure	☐	

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a description of the project, purchase or service attach additional information if needed

This project will design upgrades to six different sewage lift stations throughout the city. The improvements will range from access roads, controls, pumps, wet wells, buildings and electrical safety issues. The construction upgrades will take place over the next 3-years and be bid as determined by utility staff.

WATER, SEWAGE AND STORMWATER PROJECT- CHECK THOSE THAT APPLY

☒	Addresses critical health or safety hazard.	☐	This project was identified and deferred on prior years capital/operating budget
☒	Required to achieve or maintain an adequate level of service	☐	Expands existing service into an undeveloped area.
☒	Provides new service, facility, system or equipment.	☒	Repairs, replaces or prevents a breakdown of an existing infrastructure

PROJECT OR PURCHASE JUSTIFICATION

Describe physical condition, demand/capacity, functionality and/or safety concerns or revenue generating potential that justifies the project, purchase or acquisition

Lift stations around the city have safety concerns and needed repairs/fixes. Some of these items are needed to meet current code and others are to improve safety for staff during maintenance as well as establishing redundancy to reduce risks of back ups.

IMPACT ON DEFERRED IMPLEMENTATION/PURCHASE

Describe how project deferral will impact future asset maintenance, economic growth, quality of service, efficiency or effectiveness, quality of life, safety, financing or other issues.

Deferred maintenance will result in even more emergency repairs.

RETURN ON INVESTMENT

Describe the financial benefits, cost savings or payback of the capital project such as grant funding, cost avoidance, future debt avoidance or operational cost or income benefits

Updated lift stations will result in more reliability, less risk of back ups and fewer emergency/after hour calls.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - FINANCIAL DETAIL

	DPWU
--	------

ONE TIME EXPENSE	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Planning /Design						-
LandAcquisition						-
Construction/Maintenance		235,355				235,355
Equipment/Vehicle/Furnishings Purchase						-
Other(Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ 235,355	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 235,355

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Debt Issuance	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	235,355	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	235,355
-----------	----	---	----	---------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---------

ONGOING NEW OPERATIONAL EXP	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Staff Costs						-
Contractual Services						-
Supplies/Materials						-
Maintenance						-
Other (Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding	-
---------------------	---

Donations	-
User Fees	-
Other Grant Income	-
Other (Describe)	-
Total Funding Sources	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -

Shortfall	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
-----------	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---	----	---

IDENTIFY ONGOING FUNDING SOURCE FOR NEW OPERATIONAL EXPENSES AFTER THE ARPA GRANT PERIOD

DESCRIBE EVENTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD PREVENT COSTS FROM BEING OBLIGATED BY DECEMBER 31, 2024 AND EXPENDED BY DECEMBER 31, 2026 attach additional information if needed	

These funds would be expended in 2022.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - CALENDAR
DPWU

[illegible]

CITY OF WAUSAU

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN - SLFRF APPLICATION

Water Sewer and Broad Band Infrastructure

Water, Sewer and Broadband infrastructure this category is available to address the consequences of deferred maintenance in drinking water systems, treatment of sewage and stormwater along with resiliency measures to adapt to climate change. In addition the funds may be used for broad band investment and cybersecurity investments. Common examples would include:

- * Sewage and Stormwater projects must be eligible under the EPA's Clean Water State Revolving Fund
- *Water projects must be eligible under the EPA's Drinking Water State Revolving Fund
- *Broad band infrastructure must respond to lack of reliable service or affordable service



Project Title	Solar Array Study		
Department	DPWU	Contact Name:	Eric Lindman
Priority 1-6 (low-high)	5		

6=Emergency, 5=Urgent, 4=High Priority, 3 Medium Priority, 2 Low Priority, 1 No Priority

Project Type (Check all that apply)

☐	Sewage Infrastructure	☐	Broadband Infrastructure
☐	Stormwater Infrastructure	☐	Cybersecurity Investment
☒	Water Infrastructure		

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a description of the project, purchase or service attach additional information if needed

This project will study and possibly design an alternative energy source for the water treatment facility. It is proposed to design and construct a solar array to generate electric power for direct use by the new treatment facility to help reduce the electrical costs.

WATER, SEWAGE AND STORMWATER PROJECT- CHECK THOSE THAT APPLY

☐	Addresses critical health or safety hazard.	☐	This project was identified and deferred on prior years capital/operating budget
☐	Required to achieve or maintain an adequate level of service	☐	Expands existing service into an undeveloped area.
☒	Provides new service, facility, system or equipment.	☒	Repairs, replaces or prevents a breakdown of an existing infrastructure

PROJECT OR PURCHASE JUSTIFICATION

Describe physical condition, demand/capacity, functionality and/or safety concerns or revenue generating potential that justifies the project, purchase or acquisition

This project is anticipated to reduce electrical utility bills for the water utility a significant amount, depending on the size of the array constructed.

IMPACT ON DEFERRED IMPLEMENTATION/PURCHASE

Describe how project deferral will impact future asset maintenance, economic growth, quality of service, efficiency or effectiveness, quality of life, safety, financing or other issues.

Deferring this project will delay investigating and realizing electrical cost savings. There is also anticipated construction funding that will be available for "shovel ready" projects.

RETURN ON INVESTMENT

Describe the financial benefits, cost savings or payback of the capital project such as grant funding, cost avoidance, future debt avoidance or operational cost or income benefits

This project could have a significant impact on reducing electrical costs for the water utility. The savings will depend on the size of the array.

WATER, SEWER, STORMWATER AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE - FINANCIAL DETAIL

DPWU

ONE TIME EXPENSE	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Planning /Design						-
LandAcquisition						-
Construction/Maintenance		235,355				235,355
Equipment/Vehicle/Furnishings Purchase						-
Other(Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ 235,355	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 235,355

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding						-
Donations						-
User Fees						-
Debt Issuance						-
Other Grant Income						-
Other (Describe)						-
Total Sources	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Shortfall	\$ -	\$ 235,355	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 235,355

ONGOING NEW OPERATIONAL EXP	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Staff Costs						-
Contractual Services						-
Supplies/Materials						-
Maintenance						-
Other (Describe)						-
Total Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

FUNDING SOURCES

ARPA Funding						-
Donations						-
User Fees						-
Other Grant Income						-
Other (Describe)						-
Total Funding Sources	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Shortfall	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

IDENTIFY ONGOING FUNDING SOURCE FOR NEW OPERATIONAL EXPENSES AFTER THE ARPA GRANT PERIOD

DESCRIBE EVENTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD PREVENT COSTS FROM BEING OBLIGATED BY DECEMBER 31, 2024 AND EXPENDED BY DECEMBER 31, 2026 attach additional information if needed
These funds would be expended in 2022.

ARPA FUNDING

	1. Public Health	2. Negative Economic Impacts	3. Services to Disportionately Impacted Communities	5. Infrastructure	6. Revenue Replacement: Government Services	Total
Landmark Project		\$ 350,000			\$	350,000
2022 Budget:						
Public Access Server for Closed Caption			49,224			49,224
Internet Firewall Replacement					33,800	33,800
Core Switch Replacement					50,000	50,000
Financial ERP Software Replacement					850,000	850,000
2022 Resolutions						
Temporary Water Supplies					230,000	230,000
PFAS Pilot Study				240,375		240,375
LED Street Lighting Conversion					881,971	881,971
Skate Park		225,000				225,000
EEC Negative Economic Impact		84,100				84,100
CCITC - Fiber Connection Project					140,000	140,000
Community Outreach Specialist Position		140,000				140,000
FireFighter Positions		771,000				771,000
Wastewater Treatment Screening Improvement Project				800,000		800,000
Abel Stormwater Liftstation				800,000		800,000
Community Partners Campus Facility Project			162,756			162,756
Catholic Charities		540,000				540,000
Infill New Construction		600,000				600,000
Affordable Rental Units		500,000				500,000
Homebuyer Education Counseling and Closing Assistance		34,000				34,000
Obligated	\$ -	\$ 3,244,100	\$ 211,980	\$ 1,840,375	\$ 2,185,771	\$ 7,482,226
CITY ALLOCATION					10,000,000	\$ 15,586,461
AVAILABLE - UNENCUMBERED					7,814,229	\$ 8,104,235
CURRENT REQUESTS						
Water Main Replacement						
10th Ave				420,000		420,000
Grant St				100,000		100,000
Henrietta				320,000		320,000
Water Engineering Studies and Project Work						
Lead Service Line Replacement Plan				89,680		89,680
Corrosion Control Study				261,740		261,740
PFAS Treatment Process Design				658,695		658,695
Solar Array Study				235,355		235,355
Sewer Main Replacement						
10th Ave				320,000		320,000
Grant St				85,000		85,000
Henrietta				200,000		200,000
Sewer Engineering and Project Work						
Sewer Lift Station Upgrade Design				239,000		239,000
				2,929,470		2,929,470
UNFUNDED REQUESTS						
Fire Station Land Acquisition					815,000	815,000
Fixed Station Automated License Plate Readers					255,000	255,000
Police Department Sit-Stand Configuration					50,000	50,000
	-	-	-	-	1,120,000	1,120,000
SEEKING ALTERNATE FUNDING SOURCES BUT ENDORSED BY THE COMMITTEE						
Lead Service Line Replacement				200,000		200,000
				200,000		200,000
REMOVED						
Drinking Fountain Replacement Parks	81,000		Funded from Tennis Court Project			81,000
17th Avenue Stewart Avenue to Elm Reconstruction			Finance recommended TID 8 Funding		1,180,000	1,180,000
	81,000				1,180,000	1,261,000

CITY OF WAUSAU						
ARPA FUNDS EVALUATION CRITERIA						
CRITERIA	POSSIBLE SCORE					
	0 points	1-5 points	6-10 points	Water Main 10th Ave \$420,000	Water Main Grant St \$100,000	Water Main Henreitta St \$320,000
ALIGN WITH PRIORITIES: How well does the proposal align with the community's funding priorities? Does it support a livable community, fiscally sustainable government, environmentally sustainable community, and an improved & equitable quality of life?	Project is inconsistent with community priorities and does not advance community service.	Project is partly consistent with priorities but does not significantly advance community service.	Project is directly related to the community priorities and community service.			
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES: Are there other federal/state ARPA alternative funding opportunities (such as the federal infrastructure bill) that could replace or supplement the request? Have these other funding sources been considered? Could the project/proposal be phased to accommodate other federal/state ARPA sources?	Alternative funding sources may be available but have not been explored, considered or leveraged.	Alternative funding sources may be available and will be leveraged if possible.	No alternate funding sources exist or alternative funding sources have already been leveraged to maximize the investment.			
TIMELINE : Can the proposal be implemented and completed within the ARPA program deadlines? Obligated by 12/31/2024 and expended by 12/31/2026	Project timeline is not well defined and does not clearly establish a work completion within the timeline. Project completion is near the end the ARPA requirements	Project timeline is lengthy but demonstrates that completion is within the ARPA requirements.	Project timeline clearly demonstrates that work will conclude within the short term (1 year or less).			
COST: While projects funded with ARPA should be impactful best practices indicate the funds should be spread over the qualifying period to enhance budgetary and financial stability. Does the proposal represent a reasonable allocation of resources relative to other community proposals?	Project exhausts all or the majority of funds immediately.	Project ARPA funding request is requires a significant ARPA allocation. However, the project provides for phases which allows for flexibility of unexpected City needs and priorities.	Project ARPA funding request is reasonable to the City overall ARPA allocation. The funding request allows the City to diversify the ARPA investments. Significant projects may be allocated in phases to provide future flexibility of unexpected needs and priorities.			
RESIDENT IMPACT: What portion of the community would benefit from this proposal?	Proposal fails to identify positive impact nor identifies populations benefitted	Proposal demonstrates a positive impact on a material portion of the population 25-75%	Project demonstrates a strong positive impact on a significant portion of the			
IMPACT ON FUTURE BUDGETS: Will this proposal require ongoing funding? Will it reduce or increase ongoing operating expenses? Will the project produce additional annual revenues?	Project creates a new funding dependency and future tax levy pressure.	Project would have a neutral impact on personnel or other operating costs or revenues. Project is budget neutral.	Project decreases future operating costs, increase operating revenues,			
COMPLEXITY AND READINESS: Is the project or proposal complex with multiple phases before implementation can begin? Does the project or proposal require outside approvals or oversight? Does a clear implementation plan exist? What complications could arise to prevent this project from achieving its intended goals?	Project is unable to proceed due to obstacles such as land acquisition, easements, designs and other approvals. Project is not shovel ready.	Minor obstacles, plans or details exist but should not impact a timely implementation.	Project is entirely ready to proceed. No obstacles exist.			
CENSUS TRACT ELIBILITY: Does the proposal/project fall within a census tract or benefit those vulnerable popuations and those disproportionately negatively impacted by COVID19?	This project/proposal is not located in a census tract and not designed to benefit those disporpostionately negatively impacted by COVID as interpreted by ARPA regulations?	This project/proposal is not located in a census tract but will benefit all citizens including those disporpostionately negatively impacted by COVID as interpreted by ARPA regulations?	This project/proposal is located in a census tract or is considered to benefit those disporpostionately negatively impacted by COVID as interpreted by ARPA regulations?			
IMPACT OF DEFERRAL: What is the impact of the deferral? Will costs go up? Will the City be out of compliance with mandates or regulations? Are there health and safety risks impacting residents that will remain unaddressed? Does this proposal improve the environmental quality of the city?	Deferral causes limited impact to service or disruptions.	Deferral will have limited impact on regulatory mandates, health and safety risks or environmental qualities.	Deferral will have a detrimental impact on regulatory mandates, health and safety risks or environmental qualities.			
PROJECT PRIORITY AND IMPACT: Regardless of any other scores, do you believe this project should be a priority? Do you believe that the project is transformational for the community? Do you believe that the project will make a big impact?	Low priority, impact, transformational power	Some priority, impact and transformational power	High priority, impact and transformational power			
			TOTAL	0	0	0

CITY OF WAUSAU ARPA FUNDS EVALUATION CRITERIA							
CRITERIA	POSSIBLE SCORE						
	0 points	1-5 points	6-10 points	Lead Line Study \$89,680	Corrosion Control \$261,740	PFAS Treatment Engineering Design \$658,695	Solar Array Study \$235,355
ALIGN WITH PRIORITIES: How well does the proposal align with the community's funding priorities? Does it support a livable community, fiscally sustainable government, environmentally sustainable community, and an improved & equitable quality of life?	Project is inconsistent with community priorities and does not advance community service.	Project is partly consistent with priorities but does not significantly advance community service.	Project is directly related to the community priorities and community service.				
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES: Are there other federal/state ARPA alternative funding opportunities (such as the federal infrastructure bill) that could replace or supplement the request? Have these other funding sources been considered? Could the project/proposal be phased to accomodate other federal/state ARPA sources?	Alternative funding sources may be available but have not been explored, considered or leveraged.	Alternative funding sources may be available and will be leveraged if possible.	No alternate funding sources exist or alternative funding sources have already been leveraged to maximize the investment.				
TIMELINE : Can the proposal be implemented and completed within the ARPA program deadlines? Obligated by 12/31/2024 and expended by 12/31/2026	Project timeline is not well defined and does not clearly establish a work completion within the timeline. Project completion is near the end the ARPA requirements	Project timeline is lengthy but demonstrates that completion is within the ARPA requirements.	Project timeline clearly demonstrates that work will conclude within the short term (1 year or less).				
COST: While projects funded with ARPA should be impactful best practices indicate the funds should be spread over the qualifying period to enhance budgetary and financial stability. Does the proposal represent a reasonable allocation of resources relative to other community proposals?	Project exhausts all or the majority of funds immediately.	Project ARPA funding request is requires a significant ARPA allocation. However, the project provides for phases which allows for flexibility of unexpected City needs and priorities.	Project ARPA funding request is reasonable to the City overall ARPA allocation. The funding request allows the City to diversify the ARPA investments. Significant projects may be allocated in phases to provide future flexibility of unexpected needs and priorities.				
RESIDENT IMPACT: What portion of the community would benefit from this proposal?	Proposal fails to identify positive impact nor identifies populations benefitted	Proposal demonstrates a positive impact on a material portion of the population 25-75%	Project demonstrates a strong positive impact on a significant portion of the				
IMPACT ON FUTURE BUDGETS: Will this proposal require ongoing funding? Will it reduce or increase ongoing operating expenses? Will the project produce additional annual revenues?	Project creates a new funding dependency and future tax levy pressure.	Project would have a neutral impact on personnel or other operating costs or revenues. Project is budget neutral.	Project decreases future operating costs, increase operating revenues,				
COMPLEXITY AND READINESS: Is the project or proposal complex with multiple phases before implementation can begin? Does the project or proposal require outside approvals or oversight? Does a clear implementation plan exist? What complications could arise to prevent this project from achieving its intended goals?	Project is unable to proceed due to obstacles such as land acquisition, easements, designs and other approvals. Project is not shovel ready.	Minor obstacles, plans or details exist but should not impact a timely implementation.	Project is entirely ready to proceed. No obstacles exist.				
CENSUS TRACT ELIBILITY: Does the proposal/project fall within a census tract or benefit those vulnerable popuations and those disproportionately negatively impacted by COVID19?	This project/proposal is not located in a census tract and not designed to benefit those disporportionately negatively impacted by COVID as interpreted by ARPA regulations?	This project/proposal is not located in a census tract but will benefit all citizens including those disporportionately negatively impacted by COVID as interpreted by ARPA regulations?	This project/proposal is located in a census tract or is considered to benefit those disporportionately negatively impacted by COVID as interpreted by ARPA regulations?				
IMPACT OF DEFERRAL: What is the impact of the deferral? Will costs go up? Will the City be out of compliance with mandates or regulations? Are there health and safety risks impacting residents that will remain unaddressed? Does this proposal improve the environmental quality of the city?	Deferral causes limited impact to service or disruptions.	Deferral will have limited impact on regulatory mandates, health and safety risks or environmental qualities.	Deferral will have a detrimental impact on regulatory mandates, health and safety risks or environmental qualities.				
PROJECT PRIORITY AND IMPACT: Regardless of any other scores, do you believe this project should be a priority? Do you believe that the project is transformational for the community? Do you believe that the project will make a big impact?	Low priority, impact, transformational power	Some priority, impact and transformational power	High priority, impact and transformational power				
			TOTAL	0	0	0	0

CITY OF WAUSAU							
ARPA FUNDS EVALUATION CRITERIA							
CRITERIA	POSSIBLE SCORE						
	0 points	1-5 points	6-10 points	Sewer Main 10th Ave \$320,000	Sewer Main Grant St \$85,000	Sewer Main Henreitta St \$200,000	Sewer Lift Station Upgrade Design \$239,000
ALIGN WITH PRIORITIES: How well does the proposal align with the community's funding priorities? Does it support a livable community, fiscally sustainable government, environmentally sustainable community, and an improved & equitable quality of life?	Project is inconsistent with community priorities and does not advance community service.	Project is partly consistent with priorities but does not significantly advance community service.	Project is directly related to the community priorities and community service.				
OTHER FUNDING SOURCES: Are there other federal/state ARPA alternative funding opportunities (such as the federal infrastructure bill) that could replace or supplement the request? Have these other funding sources been considered? Could the project/proposal be phased to accomodate other federal/state ARPA sources?	Alternative funding sources may be available but have not been explored, considered or leveraged.	Alternative funding sources may be available and will be leveraged if possible.	No alternate funding sources exist or alternative funding sources have already been leveraged to maximize the investment.				
TIMELINE : Can the proposal be implemented and completed within the ARPA program deadlines? Obligated by 12/31/2024 and expended by 12/31/2026	Project timeline is not well defined and does not clearly establish a work completion within the timeline. Project completion is near the end the ARPA requirements	Project timeline is lengthy but demonstrates that completion is within the ARPA requirements.	Project timeline clearly demonstrates that work will conclude within the short term (1 year or less).				
COST: While projects funded with ARPA should be impactful best practices indicate the funds should be spread over the qualifying period to enhance budgetary and financial stability. Does the proposal represent a reasonable allocation of resources relative to other community proposals?	Project exhausts all or the majority of funds immediately.	Project ARPA funding request is requires a significant ARPA allocation. However, the project provides for phases which allows for flexibility of unexpected City needs and priorities.	Project ARPA funding request is reasonable to the City overall ARPA allocation. The funding request allows the City to diversify the ARPA investments. Significant projects may be allocated in phases to provide future flexibility of unexpected needs and priorities.				
RESIDENT IMPACT: What portion of the community would benefit from this proposal?	Proposal fails to identify positive impact nor identifies populations benefitted	Proposal demonstrates a positive impact on a material portion of the population 25-75%	Project demonstrates a strong positive impact on a significant portion of the				
IMPACT ON FUTURE BUDGETS: Will this proposal require ongoing funding? Will it reduce or increase ongoing operating expenses? Will the project produce additional annual revenues?	Project creates a new funding dependency and future tax levy pressure.	Project would have a neutral impact on personnel or other operating costs or revenues. Project is budget neutral.	Project decreases future operating costs, increase operating revenues, increase revenues.				
COMPLEXITY AND READINESS: Is the project or proposal complex with multiple phases before implementation can begin? Does the project or proposal require outside approvals or oversight? Does a clear implementation plan exist? What complications could arise to prevent this project from achieving its intended goals?	Project is unable to proceed due to obstacles such as land acquisition, easements, designs and other approvals. Project is not shovel ready.	Minor obstacles, plans or details exist but should not impact a timely implementation.	Project is entirely ready to proceed. No obstacles exist.				
CENSUS TRACT ELIBILITY: Does the proposal/project fall within a census tract or benefit those vulnerable popuations and those disproportionately negatively impacted by COVID19?	This project/proposal is not located in a census tract and not designed to benefit those disporportionately negatively impacted by COVID as interpreted by ARPA regulations?	This project/proposal is not located in a census tract but will benefit all citizens including those disporportionately negatively impacted by COVID as interpreted by ARPA regulations?	This project/proposal is located in a census tract or is considered to benefit those disporportionately negatively impacted by COVID as interpreted by ARPA regulations?				
IMPACT OF DEFERRAL: What is the impact of the deferral? Will costs go up? Will the City be out of compliance with mandates or regulations? Are there health and safety risks impacting residents that will remain unaddressed? Does this proposal improve the environmental quality of the city?	Deferral causes limited impact to service or disruptions.	Deferral will have limited impact on regulatory mandates, health and safety risks or environmental qualities.	Deferral will have a detrimental impact on regulatory mandates, health and safety risks or environmental qualities.				
PROJECT PRIORITY AND IMPACT: Regardless of any other scores, do you believe this project should be a priority? Do you believe that the project is transformational for the community? Do you believe that the project will make a big impact?	Low priority, impact, transformational power	Some priority, impact and transformational power	High priority, impact and transformational power				
			TOTAL	0	0	0	0